



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,692	01/11/2002	Thomas R. Cech	015389-002640US	3439	
34151	07201200V			EXAMINER	
TOWNSEN 8TH FLOOR	D AND TOWNSEND A	UNGAR, SUSAN NMN			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111	1642			
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/044,692	CECH ET AL.				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Susan Ungar	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peripeply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the control of th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 January 2002</u> .							
2a)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Sind	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)∏ Clai	m(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)∐ Clai	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)∐ Clai	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Clai	m(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application P	'apers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the p	- ·					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			e e e				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date				
3) 🔲 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1642

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - **Group 1.** Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 20 are drawn to composition comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof, and a container comprising said composition, classified in Class 530, subclass 300+.

Page 2

- **Group 2.** Claims 1, 4-5 and 20 are drawn to composition comprising a polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof, and a container comprising said composition, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.1+.
- It is noted that the claims of the instant application have been determined to 3. include linking claims. Claim 11 as it is drawn to polypeptides link Groups 3 and 4. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), 11. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1642

Group 3. Claims 11-13, 16, 18-19 are drawn to a method for eliciting an antibody response to human telomerase reverse transcriptase comprising administering a composition comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof,

Page 3

classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.

Group 4. Claims 11-13, 17, 18-19 are drawn to a method for eliciting a cytotoxic T-cell response to human telomerase reverse transcriptase comprising administering a composition comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.

- 4. It is noted that the claims of the instant application have been determined to include linking claims Claim 11 as it is drawn to polynucleotides link Groups 5 and 6. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), 11. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
 - **Group 5.** Claims 11, 14-15, 16, 18are drawn to a method for eliciting an antibody response to human telomerase reverse transcriptase comprising

Art Unit: 1642

administering a composition comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.

Group 6. Claims 11, 14-15, 17-18 are drawn to a method for eliciting a cytotoxic T-cell response to human telomerase reverse transcriptase comprising administering a composition comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions 1 and 2 as disclosed are biologically and chemically distinct, unrelated in structure and function, made by and used in different methods and are therefore distinct inventions.

Inventions 3-6 are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

The inventions of Groups 1 and 3/4 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (i) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the polypeptide product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity chromatography.

The inventions of Groups 2 and 5/6 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (i) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In

Art Unit: 1642

4,692 Page 5

the instant case the polynucleotide product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in the production of polypeptides.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,692 Page 6

Art Unit: 1642

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (571) 272-0837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Effective, February 7, 1998, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1642.

Primary Patent Examiner

July 22, 2004